

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,297	03/25/2005	Pan Louis		8990
7590 05/28/2008 Louis Pan			EXAM	INER
10/11-12 Hov		WILSON, LEE D		
Australia NSV AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05100,0000	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/529,297	LOUIS, PAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LEE D. WILSON	3723	

	Examiner	Artonit	
	LEE D. WILSON	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - tetresions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPH. I - tetresions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPH. I - the state of the communication.  Failure to enply within the set or admidded period for enply will by shall had ye reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing amed patient term adjustment. See 33 CPH. I TOWN. See 37 CPH. I TOWN.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTD/SS/DR)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application	

		Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
31	П	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/529,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-8, 11-13, 15-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arias (5657965).

Arias discloses an apparatus having a chambered base member (100), tongue (58), a chambered lever (200) with teeth (112&115) with a means of wedge or grooves (which is the space between the teeth), and a cross bar (300) with a spring.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arias (5657965) in view of Koo (5195724).
  - Arias discloses the claimed invention except for notches.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,297 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

 Koo discloses notches which used on the lever or base would yield predictable results that one of ordinary skill in art would obtain by combining these references.

c. In view of design choice of using a known element such as washers it would have yield the predictable result that one of ordinary skill in the art would have obtained using these known elements as matter of design choice or obvious experimentation.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive
- Applicant has amended the claims in order to place them in condition for allowance.
  - d. Claim 1 recites a means that does not extend below the base line and the prior art does not show the teeth extending below the base therefore the prior art reads on this new limitation.
  - A means for supporting the stable on continuously throughout removal is shown by the prior art none of the stable removers discharge the stable until the

Application/Control Number: 10/529,297

Art Unit: 3723

process is over. This is functional language which is clearly met. The structure of the prior art is design to hold the staple until the end of the process.

f. These changes do not define over the prior art and the rejections stand.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,297

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723 May 23, 2008